THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. GOPAL REDDY
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. SWAROOP REDDY

Taken up Writ Petition Nos.25223 of 2006 and
Writ Petition No. 9550 OF 2007

Date: 6.9.2007

W.P.No.9550 of 2007

Between:

Smt. B. Devamma. … Petitioner.

And

The Superintendent of Police,
Guntur District and others. …. Respondent.

W.P.No.25223 of 2007

Between:

Smt. Bonthana Devamma. … Petitioners

And

The Station House Officer,
Tsundur, Guntur District and others. …. Respondents.

ORAL ORDER: **(per A. Gopal Reddy, J)**

The Taken Up Writ Petition No.25223 of 2006 and W.P.No.9550 of 2007 can be disposed of together by a common order as they arise out of the same cause of action and at the instance of the same petitioner.

Writ Petition No.25223 of 2006 is a taken up writ petition on the basis of a telegram sent by one Smt. Bonthana Devamma Wife of Late Devid, Vijayanagar Colony, Chirala on 25.11.2006, wherein it is alleged that her daughter Madhavilatha was given in marriage to one Jampani Gopi Prasad, resident of Vetapalem near Chundur, Guntur District, that her daughter is being ill-treated by her husband and in-laws, while so, her son-in-law and his paternal uncle and three others visited Chirala on 27.10.2006 and innocently asked whether her daughter was with her, that immediately she rushed to their village and lodged a complaint with the S.H.O. Chunduru P.S on 30.10.2006 but they refused to receive the complaint and that she searched for her daughter but her whereabouts are not known.

W.P.No.9550 of 2007 is also filed by Smt. B. Devamma on 30.4.2007 on the same lines as stated in her telegram dated 25.11.2006 and further alleging that her son-in-law and his family members are responsible for the missing of her daughter and that the respondents-authorities are intentionally not registering the case though Respondent No.3 knows the whereabouts of her daughter.

Therefore, she sought for a writ of heabeas corpus directing the respondents to produce her daughter.

The Sub-Inspector of Police Tsundur Police Station filed a counter stating that on 26.11.2006 on the petitioner approaching the Sub-Inspector of Police, Chirala P.S and lodging a complaint about the missing of her daughter Jampana Madhavi @ Madhavi Lata, the same was registered as a case in Cr.No.157 of 2006 under the head of woman missing and a police constable was deputed to trace out the whereabouts of the missing girl, that subsequently the crime was transferred to Tsundur P.S. where it was registered as Cr.No.94/2006 on 29.12.2006, that he examined the complainant and seven other witnesses and recorded their detailed statements and that as no clues are forthcoming about the missing woman, radio message , paper publication including in electronic media and in local newspapers i.e. Andhra Bhoomi and Vaartha were issued. In the Additional counter-affidavit filed by the Sub-Inspector of Police, it is stated that he has examined as many as 30 witnesses and recorded their detailed statements, visited various places along with Asst. Sub-Inspector of Police and search was made in all the villages in Prakasham and Guntur Districts but the missing woman is not found. He also visited Chirala Village along with a Constable where the house of the complainant is situated but none were present in the house and he caused enquiries with the neighbours but they could not get any useful information. They have also caused enquiries at the Guntur Bus Station and Hyderabad near Fever Hospital, Nallakunta by showing photographs and issuing pamphlets of the missing woman. It is also further stated that they have set up fresh informants to find out the clues about the missing woman and promised them with decent money rewards and that all possible steps are being taken to trace out

the daughter of the petitioner.

Since a crime has already been registered by the police and the same has been forwarded to the competent Court of jurisdiction, the respondents will make all out efforts to trace out the missing woman and file periodical reports to the jurisdictional Magistrate to whom the FIR was forwarded. As and when the missing woman is traced out necessary report be filed before the jurisdictional Magistrate after marking a copy of the report to the petitioner.

With the above observations, both the writ petitions are closed.

_____

A. GOPAL REDDY, J

_____

7th September, 2007 **P. SWAROOP REDDY, J**

vtv